Dynamic topological completeness for the Euclidean plane

David Fernández-Duque

Universidad de Sevilla Logic, Language and Information Group

Overview

We define a *dynamical system* to be a pair $\langle X, f \rangle$, where X is a topological space and f a continuous function acting on X.

The function f represents a change in one unit of time; one can imagine a particle situated at a point x flowing to the point f(x) in the next stage.

Dynamic Topological Logic

Dynamic Topological Logic (DTL) is a modal framework for reasoning about dynamical systems.

It was first introduced by Artemov, Davoren and Nerode (1997) as **s4c**.

The language

S4C provides a rather simple language for describing phenomena which occur on dynamical systems.

The formulas of our language will be built up from propositional variables (p, q, r, etc.) using Boolean connectives and the two modal operators \Box and (f).

Semantics

Formulas of our language will be interpreted on *dynamic* topological models, which are dynamic topological systems $\langle X, f \rangle$ where each propositional variable p has been assigned a set $V(p) \subseteq X$.

The valuation V can then be extended to arbitrary formulas in our language. Booleans are interpreted classically, so that, for example,

$$V(\alpha \land \beta) = V(\alpha) \cap V(\beta).$$

Topological S4

The operator \Box is interpreted as a topological interior operator. It is well-known that the modal logic **S4** is complete for this interpretation. The dual, \Diamond , functions as a closure operator, so that

$$V(\Box \alpha) = V(\alpha)^{\circ}$$

and

$$V(\Diamond \alpha) = \overline{V(\alpha)}.$$

The temporal operator

The operator (f) represents dynamic properties of the system.

The formula $(f)\alpha$ means ' α holds in the next stage'; that is, $(f)\alpha$ is true on x if and only if α is true on f(x), or, equivalently,

 $V((f)\alpha) = f^{-1}V(\alpha).$

The axioms

Artemov, Davoren and Nerode (1997) give a sound and complete axiomatization for **S4C**. The axioms are

- all propositional tautologies;
- $\Box \varphi \rightarrow \varphi;$

- $(f) \Box \varphi \to \Box (f) \varphi.$

The rules are modus ponens and necessitation for both operators.

The continuity axiom

Most axioms are fairly standard; the most unusual one is

$$(f)\Box\varphi\to\Box(f)\varphi.$$

This axiom expresses the continuity of f.

Validity

A formula φ is *valid* on $\langle X, f, V \rangle$ if $V(\varphi) = X$.

If φ is valid on $\langle X, f \rangle$, we write

 $\langle X, f \rangle \models \varphi.$

Validity

Similarly, if ${\mathcal A}$ is a class of dynamic topological models, we will write

$$\mathcal{A}\models\varphi$$

if, whenever $\langle X, f \rangle \in \mathcal{A}$,

$$\langle X, f \rangle \models \varphi.$$

The set of formulas which are valid on \mathcal{A} will be denoted $\mathcal{DTL}_{\mathcal{A}}$.

Some classes of systems

We are interested in the following classes of systems:

- the class C of all dynamic topological systems with continuous f;
- the class \mathcal{K} of all dynamic transitive, reflexive Kripke frames;
- the classes \mathcal{R}^n of all dynamic topological systems based on \mathbb{R}^n .

Preorder topologies

Note that the class \mathcal{K} can be viewed as a subclass of \mathcal{C} ; recall that Kripke semantics on a frame $\langle W, \preccurlyeq \rangle$ are defined by setting

$$w \models \Box \varphi \Leftrightarrow \forall v \preccurlyeq w, v \models \varphi.$$

But this coincides with topological semantics if we define a set $U \subseteq W$ to be open if, whenever $w \in W$ and $v \preccurlyeq w$, it follows that $v \in U$.

Completeness

Theorem 1. S4C (and hence S4) is complete for \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{K} .

This result is proven in Artemov, Davoren and Nerode (1997).

Euclidean completeness

The main result of this talk is the following:

```
Theorem 2 (DFD). S4C is also complete for \mathcal{R}^2.
```

Slavnov had already shown that S4C is not complete for \mathcal{R} (2003) but is complete for $\bigcup_{n < \omega} \mathcal{R}^n$, where *n* is arbitrary (2005).

Topological Bisimulation

The main tool for showing completeness is *topological bisimulation*, which plays a role very similar to bisimulation between Kripke frames.

Definition 1. A topological bisimulation between two dynamic topological models $\langle X_1, f_1, V_1 \rangle$ and $\langle X_2, f_2, V_2 \rangle$ is an open, continuous function

$$\beta: X_1 \to X_1$$

such that

$$\beta f_1 = f_2 \beta$$

and, for every variable p,

$$V_1(p) = \beta^{-1} V_2(p).$$

Topological bisimulation

Topological bisimulations are useful because of the following result:

Theorem 3. If

$$\beta: X_1 \to X_2$$

is a topological bisimulation and φ is any formula in the language of S4C, then for every $x \in X_1$,

$$x \models \varphi \Leftrightarrow \beta(x) \models \varphi.$$

Simulating Kripke frames on \mathbb{R}^2

We can use topological bisimulation along with Kripke completeness to show that S4 is complete for \mathbb{R}^2 (indeed it is also complete for interpretations on \mathbb{R} , as shown by Mc Kinsey and Tarsky in 1944).

A Kripke frame



Simulating a Kripke frame on \mathbb{R}^2



Simulating a Kripke frame on \mathbb{R}^2



Simulating a Kripke frame on \mathbb{R}^2



Segment trees



Segment trees



Tree maps





Tree maps



Tree maps



A Dynamic Kripke frame

















